BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Appeal No. 73 of 2012

Sudiep Shrivastava Vs. State of Chhattisgarh& Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D. K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R. C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Appellant: Mr. Raj Panjwani Sr. Adv, Mr. Rahul Choudhary,

Advocate and Ms. Parul Gupta, Advocate.

Respondent No.1: Mr. Atul Jha, Advocate

Respondent No.2: Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Advocate with

Mr. Salauddin Khan, Advocate and Mr. Nakul

Chaudhary, Advocate.

Respondent No. 3: Mr. Parag Tripathi Sr. Adv. & Mr. Kunal Verma,

Advocate.

Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	Judgment in the matter has been pronounced. The
Item No. 1 March 24, 2014	Learned Counsel appearing for the Project Proponent
	submits that operation of the Judgment should be stayed at
	least fo <mark>r a short duration to enable them to exercise their</mark>
	statut <mark>ory</mark> right of appeal before the Supreme Court of India.
	It is contended that about 2 lakh metric tones washed coal
00 / Z	is lying ready in open and if it is not removed it is likely to
V. A	be wasted in its entirety which will be a national loss.
	Further, it is contended that they should be permitted to
W. 11 >	remove the exposed coal and wash the same, as again if not
11.	permitted, it will cause a considerable loss to the Project
	Proponent.
	The Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant on
	the other hand contends that restoration is the paramount
	feature of grant of Forest Clearance. The Project Proponent
	should not be permitted mining under the garb of removing
	exposed coal. However, to the other prayer there is no
	serious opposition.
	We are conscious of the fact that this Application was
РВ	pending before the Tribunal for more than a year and has
	been decided by the Judgment today. In the interest of
	Justice we direct as follows:-
	1) The operation of the Judgment shall remain stayed for
	a period of two weeks from today.
	2) The Project Proponent would be entitled to deal with
	washed coal even during this period.
	3) No activity beyond the interim Order of the Tribunal

dated 28th May, 2013 shall be carried on. With the above directions we leave the parties to take recourse to the respective remedies as are available to them in accordance to law. After the lapse of two weeks the Judgment will become operative.,CP (Swatanter Kumar),JM (U.D. Salvi) (Dr. D. K. Agrawal) (Prof. A.R. Yousuf),EM (Dr. R. C. Trivedi)

